

To: Karina Johnston[kjohnston@santamonicabay.org]; Yelensky, Erica[Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov]
From: Tom Ford
Sent: Wed 9/27/2017 9:03:26 PM
Subject: RE: FY18 Work Plan Edits
SMBNEP FY18 Outputs draft 9-6-17 working final.xlsx

From: Karina Johnston
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 2:01 PM
To: Yelensky, Erica <Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>; Tom Ford <tford@santamonicabay.org>
Subject: RE: FY18 Work Plan Edits

I wasn't cc'ed on Tom's final version to Erica on 9/6. Can someone please send it to me so we're all looking at the same version? Thanks!!

Karina Johnston

Director of Watershed Programs

The Bay Foundation

Office: (310) 216-9824

From: Yelensky, Erica [<mailto:Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 2:04 PM
To: Tom Ford; Karina Johnston
Subject: RE: FY18 Work Plan Edits

Hi Tom and Karina,

Thanks for the effort you put into this. I apologize for taking so long to get back to you with comments.

I will provide our overall sentiment now so there are no surprises. The table is not where we want to be, but it's fine for now. Let's table this discussion until you start planning for the 2019 workplan*. On this note, please let us know when you will began the planning process so that we can get on the same page early on. I think we should work on a couple of examples together.

Specific comments

Outputs: We were a little confused by the "original outputs" and "revised outputs." The content of most of the cells should be combined (actions and deliverables).

Outcomes/environmental results:

-each individual task does not necessarily result in an environmental outcome; in fact there may be only a handful of environmental results for your entire work plan (e.g. kelp reforestation, abalone, dune and beach restoration, and prop 84 projects (water quality improvement).

-The environmental results should be measurable.

-The five-year and twenty-year outcomes are often the same in your table which doesn't necessarily make sense.

*One place where I would like to see updates in the short term is the BRP revision. I would like to see a few more outputs captured for the BRP revision (red text below).

68	** NEW: Multidisciplinary Conduct BRP Revision	Review existing 2013 BRP; Hold public workshops for the GB, WAC, and conduct broader public outreach to solicit input for the 2019 BRP revision; what else?	Timeline, outline, workshop notes, draft BRP, final BRP Update by 2019	Reported progress in BRP implementation, improved environmental conditions measured by various indicators and shown in State of the Bay report.	Reported progress in BRP implementation, continued improved environmental conditions measured by various indicators and shown in State of the Bay report.
----	---	---	--	---	---

Complete BRP
Revision process
by 2019

of the Bay report.

Let me know if you want to set up a call to discuss.

Thanks for your patience.

Have a good weekend.

Best,

Erica

Disclaimer: This message was written with voice activated software. It may contain errors. Some of them might be interesting. Observe the context and the meaning will, hopefully, be obvious.

Erica Yelensky
Direct: (415) 972-3021
Watersheds Office
U.S. EPA Region 9, Water Division
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
<http://www2.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/sf-bay-water-quality-improvement-fund>

From: Tom Ford [<mailto:tford@santamonicabay.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 3:49 PM

To: Yelensky, Erica <Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>
Subject: FY18 Work Plan Edits

Erica,

Attached you find our responses clarifying the deliverables as well as the 5 to 20 year outcomes for the projects/tasks/actions identified in our FY18 work plan.

Looking forward to speaking with you about this once you've had a chance to review it.

Thanks,

Tom Ford

Executive Director

—

The Bay Foundation

T: 310.216.9827

tford@santamonicabay.org

www.santamonicabay.org

